

School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2022/23 s.y.
School-based Grant - Programme Report

Name of School: N.T. HEUNG YEE KUK YUEN LONG DISTRICT SEC. SCHOOL

Project Coordinator: LEE Sze-ming **Contact Telephone No.:** 2476 6226

A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under this programme is 91 (including A. 5 CSSA recipients, B. 60 SFAS full-grant recipients and C. 26 under school's discretionary quota).

B. Information on Activities under the Programme

*Name / Type of activity	Actual no. of participating eligible students #			Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)
	A	B	C						
Instrumental Class	4	25	9	75%	10/2022-8/2023	48,023.00	Questionnaire	Service Providers	
Chinese Dance Class	0	1	1	80%	9/2022 – 7/2023	4,063.00	Questionnaire	Service Providers	
LWL Activities- Bowling	1	15	6	100%	30/9/2022	690.00	Questionnaire	Service Providers	
Urban Trip	1	21	10	100%	29/9/2022-30/9/2022	14,459.00	Questionnaire	Service Providers	
Drone Course	0	3	0	100%	3/10/2022	3,150.00	Questionnaire	Service Providers	
Sister School Exchange Programme	1	5	4	100%	3/7/2023-7/7/2023	10,600.00	Questionnaire	Service Providers	
Cross Border Exchange Program	0	5	4	100%	8/7/2023-13/7/2023	49,500.00	Questionnaire	Service Providers	
Total no. of activities: <u>7</u>									
@No. of participation counts	7	75	34		Total Expenses	130,485.00			
**Total no. of participation counts	117								

Note:

* Name/type of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

@ Participation count: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

** Total no. of participation count: the aggregate of (A) + (B) + (C)

Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

Please put a “✓” against the most appropriate box.	Improved			No Change	Declining	Not Applicable
	Significant	Moderate	Slight			
Learning Effectiveness						
a) Students’ motivation for learning						✓
b) Students’ study skills						✓
c) Students’ academic achievement						✓
d) Students’ learning experience outside classroom						✓
e) Your overall view on students’ learning effectiveness						✓
Personal and Social Development						
f) Students’ self-esteem		✓				
g) Students’ self-management skills		✓				
h) Students’ social skills	✓					
i) Students’ interpersonal skills		✓				
j) Students’ cooperativeness with others	✓					
k) Students’ attitudes toward schooling		✓				
l) Students’ outlook on life		✓				
m) Your overall view on students’ personal and social development		✓				
Community Involvement						
n) Students’ participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities						✓
o) Students’ sense of belonging						✓
p) Students’ understanding on the community						✓
q) Your overall view on students’ community involvement						✓

D. Comments on the project conducted

Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project

(You may tick more than one box)

- unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant);
- difficult to decide on the 25% discretionary quota;
- eligible students unwilling to join the programmes;
- the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;
- tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;
- the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;
- complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;
- the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;
- Others (Please specify): _____

E. Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)

Nil.
